arXiv:1611.01229v1 [hep-th] 4 Nov 2016

4d SCFTs from negative-degree line bundles

Emily Nardoni*

Department of Physics, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093 USA

We construct 4d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ quantum field theories by compactifying the (2,0) theories on a Riemann surface with genus g and n punctures, where the normal bundle decomposes into a sum of two line bundles with possibly negative degrees p and q. Until recently, the only available field-theoretic constructions required the line bundle degrees to be nonnegative, although supergravity solutions were constructed in the literature for the zero-puncture case for all p and q. Here, we provide field-theoretic constructions and computations of the central charges of 4d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFTs that are the IR limit of M5-branes wrapping a surface with general p or q negative, for general genus g and number of maximal punctures n.

November 2016

*enardoni@ucsd.edu

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

1.1. Background and motivations 2

1.2. Overview and summary of results 4

2 Constructing the BBBW duals from $T_N^{(m)}$ building blocks **5**

2.1. $T_N^{(m)}$ review 5

2.2. Gluing procedure 6

2.3. Construction of $\mathcal{C}_{g>1,n=0}$ and computation of a and c 7

2.4. Operator dimensions and large-N 10

3 $\mathcal{C}_{g=0,n=0}$ from the Higgsed $T_N^{(m)}$ **11**

3.1. Constructing Higgsed $T_N^{(m)}$ theories 11

3.2. Computation of a_{trial} and c_{trial} 13

3.3. Comments on ruling out $g = 0$ SCFTs 13

4 General $C_{g,n}$ from $T_N^{(m_i)}$ building blocks **14**

4.1. Computing a_{trial} and c_{trial} for $g \neq 1$ 14

4.2. Comments on operators 17

4.3. Computing a_{trial} and c_{trial} for the torus 17

5 Future directions **18**

A Conventions and main T_N formulae **19**

B Relevant BBBW results **19**

C 't Hooft anomalies for gluing $T_N^{(m)}$ building blocks **21**

1 Introduction

1.1 Background and motivations

One interesting way to obtain 4d quantum field theories is to compactify the 6d $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ superconformal field theories on a Riemann surface. As the (2,0) theories are the low-energy description of multiple M5-branes, the 4d theories that result from this procedure describe the low-energy limit of M5-branes that wrap the Riemann surface. The 4d SCFTs that have such a description are known as theories of class $\mathcal{S}$.

This strategy for obtaining 4d theories has been used in an attempt to study and classify theories with $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry in [1, 2, 3]. In [2], it was argued that strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs, denoted T_N theories, arise as the low-energy effective description

of N coincident M5-branes wrapping a 3-punctured sphere. The punctures (i.e. codimension 2 defects) each introduce an $SU(N)$ flavor symmetry in the field theory. The T_N theories can be combined by gauging diagonal subgroups of these global symmetries, which geometrically corresponds to gluing the punctures to form more general Riemann surfaces with arbitrary genus and punctures [2]. These constructions provided an understanding of the 4d SCFTs discussed in [4] which arise for the case of branes wrapping a surface with no punctures, and which are holographically dual [5] to some solutions of 11d supergravity referred to in the literature as Maldacena-Nuñez solutions. The holographic duals of constructions for the more general system with punctures were considered in [5].

One can field-theoretically construct $\mathcal{N}=1$ theories of class $\mathcal{S}$—including the duals of the $\mathcal{N}=1$ Maldacena-Nuñez solutions—via deformations of the T_N theories, as discussed in [6]. This program was continued in [7] with constructions in which the T_N theories are coupled with $\mathcal{N}=1$ vector multiplets. In the present work, we will be interested in generalizing an infinite class of 4d $\mathcal{N}=1$ SCFTs that were introduced in [8, 9]. There, supergravity solutions that naturally generalize the Maldacena-Nuñez solutions (and which we'll refer to as BBBW solutions for authors Bah, Beem, Bobev, Wecht) were constructed and shown to be holographically dual to field-theoretic constructions that involve $\mathcal{N}=1$ gluing of T_N building blocks to create a surface with no punctures.

We here consider M5-branes wrapping a genus g Riemann surface with n maximal punctures $\mathcal{C}_{g,n}$, where the surface is embedded in a Calabi-Yau 3-fold[1]. The normal bundle decomposes into a sum of two line bundles as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^2 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_2 \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{C}_{g,n} \end{array} \tag{1}$$

The Calabi-Yau condition requires that the degrees of the line bundles p and q satisfy

$$p \equiv c_1(\mathcal{L}_1), \quad q \equiv c_1(\mathcal{L}_2), \quad p+q = -\chi(C_{g,n}) = 2g-2+n. \tag{2}$$

The BBBW supergravity solutions are valid for all p and q; however, there is only an explicit field theory construction for the case of p and q nonnegative[2]. Our main goal in the present work is to understand such a construction for the case where one of the line bundle degrees is negative. Our construction requires a more general building block than the T_N theory. The necessary ingredient was provided in [11], which introduced a generalization of the T_N theory denoted $T_N^{(m)}$, for m a positive integer (and whose

[1]Eight supercharges are preserved when the ambient geometry in which the Riemann surface is embedded in a Calabi-Yau 2-fold, while only four supercharges are preserved when the geometry is a Calabi-Yau 3-fold. For further discussion on the general structure of how $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetry is preserved in the BBBW theories, see [9].

[2]See note added at the end of the Introduction; similar constructions were recently considered in [10].

features we will review in Section 2.1). The field-theoretic constructions in [11] utilizing $T_N^{(m)}$ building blocks provided the first generalized quiver field theories with $p, q < 0$.

1.2 Overview and summary of results

In the present work, we explicitly construct 4d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ field theories that result from compactifying the (2,0) theories on a surface with negative p or q, thereby providing field theoretic constructions for the duals of the BBBW gravity solutions obtained by gluing $T_N^{(m)}$ building blocks. We further generalize to the case of M5-branes wrapped on Riemann surfaces with maximal punctures, yielding formulae for the (trial) central charges of the resulting SCFTs that depend only on geometric data.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we provide the field-theoretic construction of the 4d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFTs that are dual to the BBBW gravity solutions for negative p or q. We begin by reviewing the definition of the $T_N^{(m)}$ theories as formulated in [11]. Then, we glue together $(2g-2)$ copies of the $T_N^{(m)}$ theories, yielding a genus $g > 1$ surface with no punctures and possibly negative p or q, thus providing an inherently field-theoretic construction of 4d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ field theories that arise as the IR limit of M5-branes wrapped on a surface with negative normal bundle degrees. We compute the central charges and operator dimensions for these theories, and find that they match precisely onto the BBBW formulae.

In Section 3, we study the genus zero case, which requires closing punctures on chains of $T_N^{(m)}$ theories. We consider the simplest case of a single $T_N^{(m)}$ theory whose $SU(N)$ flavor groups are Higgsed, which can yield theories with twist $|z| \geq 2$, and find that the trial central charges match onto the BBBW results. However, there will be field-theoretic corrections to these values from operators whose R-charges were shifted in the Higgsing procedure. We comment on these corrections, but leave their complete analysis to future work.

Having reviewed the machinery to close punctures in Section 3, in Section we construct theories of genus g and n maximal punctures from $T_N^{(m_i)}$ building blocks. With these general constructions, we are able to compute the central charges for the torus as well. We conclude with a (brief) discussion of future directions. Appendices review our conventions, relevant BBBW formulae we reference throughout, and list the 't Hooft anomalies needed in our constructions.

Note added: After this work was substantially completed and in the process of being written up, the paper [10] appeared, which has overlap with the present work. We note that our results here were obtained independently, prior to [10] being posted.



Figure 1: A UV generalized quiver description for the $T_N^{(m)}$ theories. The blue color of the $SU(N)_{A,B,C}$ flavor groups corresponds to punctures with sign $\sigma_{A,B,C} = +1$. The red boxes correspond to closed $\sigma = -1$ punctures, i.e. red-colored $SU(N)$ flavor groups that were Higgsed by giving vevs to adjoint operators $M^{(i)}$. The singlets $M_j^{(i)}$ are the leftover components of the fluctuations of the $M^{(i)}$ about the vevs. The diagram to the right of the quiver is used in later figures as a shorthand.

2 Constructing the BBBW duals from $T_N^{(m)}$ building blocks

2.1 $T_N^{(m)}$ review

The $T_N^{(m)}$ theories constructed in [11] are strongly coupled 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs. They have a natural description as being of class $\mathcal{S}$, arising as the low-energy limit of wrapping N M5-branes (the (2,0) theories of type A_{N-1}) on a sphere with three punctures, $\mathcal{C}_{0,3}$. The sphere is embedded in a Calabi-Yau 3-fold, which decomposes into a sum of two line bundles as in 1. For our purposes, the novelty of this construction is that the degrees p and q of the line bundles are allowed to be negative, parameterized by a nonnegative integer m:

$$T_N^{(m)}: \quad p = m + 1, \quad q = -m. \tag{3}$$

For $m = 0$, this construction reduces to the T_N theory.

These theories preserve a $U(1)_+ \times U(1)_-$ global symmetry which derives from the parent $\mathcal{N} = (2,0)$ $SO(5)_R$ symmetry, as well as an $SU(N)^3$ global symmetry associated to the three punctures[3] which we denote $SU(N)_A \times SU(N)_B \times SU(N)_C$. Each puncture is labeled by a sign $\sigma_{A,B,C} = \pm 1$; in the notation of [11], +1 is blue-colored and -1 is red-colored, and in diagrams we'll take $+1$ to be unshaded and -1 to be shaded. Denoting the generators of $U(1)_+ \times U(1)_-$ by $J_\pm$, the exact superconformal R-symmetry is given by the linear combination (see Appendix A for more on our conventions)

$$R_{\mathcal{N}=1}(\epsilon) = \frac{1-\epsilon}{2} J_+ + \frac{1+\epsilon}{2} J_-. \tag{4}$$

The 't Hooft anomalies for the $T_N^{(m)}$ theories are given in Appendix C, in equation 58, and the chiral operators of the $T_N^{(m)}$ theories are listed in Table 1. The ϕ_i are adjoint

[3] This is taking the punctures to be maximal; to construct building blocks with generic three punctures whose flavor symmetries are non-maximal, one can use results in [12], [13], [14], [15].

	$SU(N)_i$	$SU(N)_A$	$SU(N)_B$	$SU(N)_C$	(J_+, J_-)
Q		$\square$	$\square$	$\square$	$(N-1, 0)$
$\tilde{Q}$		$\bar{\square}$	$\bar{\square}$	$\bar{\square}$	$(N-1, 0)$
ϕ_i $(1 \leq i \leq m)$	adj				$(0, 2)$
μ_i $(1 \leq i \leq m)$	adj				$(2, 0)$
μ_A		adj			$(2, 0)$
μ_B			adj		$(2, 0)$
μ_C				adj	$(2, 0)$
$\mu_j^{(i)}$ $(1 \leq j \leq N-1)$					$(2, -2j)$
$M_j^{(i)}$ $(1 \leq j \leq N-1)$					$(0, 2j+2)$

Table 1: Operators of the (unshaded) $T_N^{(m)}$ theories.

chiral multiplets; the $\mu_j^{(i)}$ and $M_j^{(i)}$ are singlets; the μ_i are moment-map operators (i.e., chiral operators at the bottom of would-be $\mathcal{N} = 2$ current multiplets) of the m symmetry groups $SU(N)_i$ that are gauged in the construction of the theories; the $\mu_{A,B,C}$ are moment-map operators of the leftover $SU(N)_{A,B,C}$ flavor symmetries; and $Q(\tilde{Q})$ are (anti)trifundamentals of the $SU(N)_A \times SU(N)_B \times SU(N)_C$ flavor symmetry. Some of these operators are coupled in a superpotential,

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{Tr}\phi_i(\hat{\mu}_i - \tilde{\hat{\mu}}_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \mu_j^{(i)} M_j^{(i)}. \tag{5}$$

The origin of this superpotential comes from the construction of the $T_N^{(m)}$ theories from $m+1$ copies of the T_N theory; see [11] for more details. We summarize the resulting UV generalized quiver description for the $T_N^{(m)}$ theories in Figure 1.

The superpotential 5 yields chiral ring relations for the chiral operators. For example, while naively one might worry that the singlets $\mu_j^{(i)}$ could violate the unitarity bound due to their negative J_- charge, the F-terms for the $M_j^{(i)}$ imply that the singlets $\mu_j^{(i)}$ are in fact trivial in the ring. As checked in [11], none of the gauge-invariant chiral operators that are nontrivial in the ring decouple[4].

2.2 Gluing procedure

The gluing procedure corresponds to decomposing the geometry into pairs of pants, where each can be associated with $T_N^{(m)}$ theories, and gauging subgroups of the flavor symmetries

[4]If the dimension of a chiral operator $\mathcal{O}$ appears to violate the unitarity bound $R(\mathcal{O}) < 2/3$ (the R-charge of a chiral operator is proportional to its dimension in theories with four supercharges), then $\mathcal{O}$ is in fact free, and an accidental $U(1)$ symmetry acts on $\mathcal{O}$. One must account for the decoupling of these free operators, e.g. in computations of a and c [16].



Figure 2: Options for gluing 2 $T_N^{(m_i)}$ blocks to form a genus 2 surface. Shaded triangles correspond to $T_N^{(m_i)}$ theories with $\sigma_i = -1$, while unshaded triangles have $\sigma_i = +1$. Shaded circular nodes correspond to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vector multiplets, while unshaded circular nodes correspond to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplets. Blocks of differing colors should be glued by an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vector.

associated to the punctures. We will label the ith block by $T_N^{(m_i)}$, where the m_i are in general different.

Before we do the general case, let us first illustrate the procedure of gluing two $T_N^{(m_i)}$, $i = 1, 2$ theories with either an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ or $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplet, as in [11]. Label the degrees of the blocks as

$$T_N^{(m_i)}: \quad (p_i, q_i) = \begin{cases} (m_i + 1, \ -m_i) & \sigma_i = +1 \\ (-m_i, \ m_i + 1) & \sigma_i = -1 \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

since p_i and q_i switch roles for an unshaded versus shaded block. We gauge an $SU(N)$ flavor symmetry of the two $T_N^{(m_i)}$ theories, leading to a superpotential for the moment-map operators of the gauged block,

$$W = \mathrm{Tr}\mu^+\mu^-. \tag{7}$$

For instance, μ^+ could be chosen to derive from μ_A in Table 1 for one block, and μ^- to come from μ_A for the other block. In order to write a superpotential of this form, when gluing with an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vector the (J_+, J_-) charge assignment of one of the $T_N^{(m_i)}$ blocks must be flipped such that μ^- has $J_\pm$ charges given by $(J_+, J_-) = (0, 2)$. In general, two blocks of the same color/shading should be glued by an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector, while two blocks of differing colors/shadings should be glued by an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vector.

The result of this procedure is a four-punctured sphere $\mathcal{C}_{0,4}$, where the total degrees p and q of the embedding space satisfy $p + q = 2g - 2 + n = 2$.

2.3 Construction of $\mathcal{C}_{g>1,n=0}$ and computation of a and c

Here, we glue together $(2g - 2)$ copies of the $T_N^{(m_i)}$ theories, $i = 1, ..., 2g - 2$, yielding a genus $g > 1$ surface $\mathcal{C}_{g,0}^{(p,q)}$ with no punctures and possibly negative degrees p and q. (The sphere and torus cases are constructed separately in later sections.) We consider the general case of ℓ_1 (shaded) blocks with $\sigma_i = -1$, and ℓ_2 (unshaded) blocks with $\sigma_i = +1$,

glued together with n_1 (shaded) $\mathcal{N}=1$ vector multiplets and n_2 $\mathcal{N}=2$ (unshaded) vector multiplets. Given the geometries we wish to construct, these parameters satisfy

$$\ell_1+\ell_2=2(g-1), \quad n_1+n_2=3(g-1). \tag{8}$$

Label the degrees of the blocks as in 6. Then, the total degrees p and q of the space in which the genus g surface is embedded are given by

$$p=\sum_{\{\sigma_i=+1\}} m_i-\sum_{\{\sigma_i=-1\}} m_i+\ell_2, \quad p+q=2g-2. \tag{9}$$

The sum over $\{\sigma_i=+1\}$ runs over the ℓ_2 unshaded nodes, while the sum over $\sigma_i=-1$ runs over the ℓ_1 shaded nodes. As an example, the options for forming a genus 2 surface in this manner are shown in Figure 2.

We now compute the central charges a and c for these configurations. For a general 4d $\mathcal{N}=1$ SCFT, the central charges a and c are determined by the 't Hooft anomalies [17],

$$a=\frac{3}{32}\left(3\mathrm{Tr}R^3-\mathrm{Tr}R\right), \quad c=\frac{1}{32}\left(9\mathrm{Tr}R^3-5\mathrm{Tr}R\right). \tag{10}$$

For quivers made from $T_N^{(m)}$ building blocks, in the absence of accidental symmetries, the $\mathcal{N}=1$ superconformal R-symmetry $R=R(\epsilon)$ takes the form (see Appendix A for conventions)

$$R(\epsilon)=\frac{1}{2}(1-\epsilon)J_++\frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon)J_-. \tag{11}$$

Then, the exact superconformal R-symmetry at an IR fixed point is determined by a-maximization with respect to ϵ [18].

The contributions to a and c of the various components of our constructions can be computed using the 't Hooft anomalies given in Appendix C, substituted into equations 10 and 11. The contribution of the i'th $T_N^{(m_i)}$ block is given by [11]

$$\begin{aligned} a_{T_N^{(m_i)}}(\epsilon)=&\frac{3}{64}(N-1)(1-\epsilon)\left(3N^2(1+\epsilon)^2-3N(2\epsilon^2+\epsilon+1)-2(3\epsilon^2+3\epsilon+2)\right)\\ &-m_i\frac{3}{32}\epsilon\left(3N^3(\epsilon^2-1)-3\epsilon^2+2N+1\right)\\ \equiv&\,\mathcal{A}_0(\epsilon)+m_i\mathcal{A}_1(\epsilon). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

For convenience, we've defined $\mathcal{A}_0(\epsilon)$ as the piece of $a_{T_N^{(m_i)}}(\epsilon)$ that's independent of m_i, and $\mathcal{A}_1(\epsilon)$ as the piece proportional to m_i. Our convention is that these formulae as written correspond to an unshaded ($\sigma_i=+1$) block, while taking $\epsilon\to-\epsilon$ (equivalently, swapping J_+ and J_-) yields the formulae for a shaded block. The contributions of an $\mathcal{N}=2$ and $\mathcal{N}=1$ vector multiplet are

$$a_{\mathcal{N}=2}(\epsilon)=\frac{3}{32}(N^2-1)\epsilon(3\epsilon^2-1)+\frac{6}{32}(N^2-1) \tag{13}$$

$$a_{\mathcal{N}=1}=\frac{6}{32}(N^2-1). \tag{14}$$

Here, the convention for $a_{\mathcal{N}=2}(\epsilon)$ is that as written we're gluing two unshaded flavor groups[5]; gluing two shaded flavor groups with an $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector corresponds to taking $\epsilon \to -\epsilon$. Then, $a(\epsilon)$ for this class of theories is given by a sum over these pieces,

$$\begin{aligned} a(\epsilon) =& \ell_2 \mathcal{A}_0(\epsilon) + \mathcal{A}_1(\epsilon) \sum_{\{\sigma_i=+1\}} m_i + \ell_1 \mathcal{A}_0(-\epsilon) + \mathcal{A}_1(-\epsilon) \sum_{\{\sigma_i=-1\}} m_i \\ &+ \frac{3}{2}(\ell_2 - \ell_1) a_{\mathcal{N}=2}(\epsilon) + 3\ell_1 a_{\mathcal{N}=1}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Maximizing with respect to ϵ yields

$$\epsilon = \frac{N + N^2 - \sqrt{z^2 + N(1+N)\Big(N(1+N) + z^2(4 + 3N(1+N))\Big)}}{3(1+N+N^2)z}, \tag{16}$$

where we've written the answer in terms of the twist parameter z,

$$z = \frac{p-q}{p+q}, \quad p = (g-1)(1+z), \quad q = (g-1)(1-z). \tag{17}$$

ϵ in 16 matches the value computed in [9], as expected. The argument of the square root is always positive for the valid ranges of the parameters, $N \geq 2$, $g \geq 2$. ϵ is singular only for $q = p = g-1$, and re-maximizing with respect to ϵ for this special point yields $\epsilon = 0$.

Substituting ϵ into 15, and performing the similar computation for c, we find

$$\begin{aligned} a = \frac{(N-1)(g-1)}{48(1+N+N^2)z^2} \Bigg[& - N^3(1+N)^3 + 3z^3(1+N+N^2)(3 + N(1+N)(7+3N(1+N))) \\ & + \Big(z^2 + N(1+N)(N(1+N) + (4+3N(1+N))z^2)\Big)^{3/2}\Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c = \frac{(N-1)(g-1)}{48(1+N+N^2)^2 z^2} \Bigg[& - N^3(1+N)^3 + z^2(1+N+N^2)(6 + N(1+N)(17+9N(1+N))) \\ & + (N^2(1+N)^2 + z^2(1+N+N^2)(2+3N(1+N)) \\ & \cdot \sqrt{z^2 + N(1+N)\Big(N(1+N) + z^2(4+3N(1+N))\Big)}.\Bigg] \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

We plot a as a function of z for various values of N in Figure 6. These results precisely match the values of a and c that were computed by BBBW in [9] via integrating the anomaly eight-form of the M5-brane theory over $\mathcal{C}_{g,0}$. We emphasize that we've found this same result with a field theoretic construction. It is worth highlighting that it is nontrivial that the dependence on the m_i in 15 cancels to yield central charges 18 and 19 that depend only on the topological data z and g (and choice of N).

[5] Our conventions appropriately account for this, e.g. by not including an absolute value in the definition of n_2 in 8.



Figure 3: a as a function of the twist z for quivers constructed from $2g-2$ glued $T_N^{(m_i)}$ blocks, plotted for $g = 11$ and various N.

2.4 Operator dimensions and large-N

With ϵ fixed in 16, the dimensions of chiral operators can be determined by $\Delta(\mathcal{O}) = \frac{3}{2}R(\mathcal{O})$, using $R(\epsilon)$ defined in 4. The dimensions of operators are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta[\mu] = \tfrac{3}{2}(1-\epsilon), && \Delta[\phi] = \tfrac{3}{2}(1+\epsilon) \\ &\Delta[Q] = \tfrac{3}{4}(N-1)(1-\epsilon), && \Delta[u_k] = \tfrac{3}{2}(1+\epsilon)k, \quad k = 3,\dots,N \\ &\Delta[M_j] = \tfrac{3}{2}(1+\epsilon)(1+j), \quad j = 1,\dots,N-1. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Again, we use a convention where taking $\epsilon \to -\epsilon$ yields the R-charge of the operator corresponding to a shaded node; e.g. $\Delta[\phi](\epsilon)$ corresponds to the adjoint chiral field in the $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector multiplet of an unshaded node, while $\Delta[\phi](-\epsilon)$ corresponds to the adjoint chiral of a shaded node. The μ are the various moment map operators of the $T_N^{(m_i)}$ blocks, detailed in Table 1.

We can construct gauge-invariant operators out of Q and $\tilde{Q}$ that correspond to M2-brane operators wrapping the surface $\mathcal{C}_{g,0}$, as described in [9]. Schematically, these are

$$\mathcal{O}_{M2} = \prod_{i=1}^{2g-2} Q_i, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{M2} = \prod_{i=1}^{2g-2} \tilde{Q}_i \tag{21}$$

From 20, the dimensions of these operators are

$$\Delta[\mathcal{O}_{M2}] = \Delta[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{M2}] = \frac{3}{4}(N-1)\left[(2g-2) + \epsilon(\ell_1 - \ell_2)\right] \tag{22}$$

for ϵ given in 16, and where $0 \le |\ell_1 - \ell_2| \le 2g-2$. None of these operators decouple.

$|\epsilon|$ in 16 ranges from 0 at $z=0$, to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ at large z and N. In particular, the new range of ϵ accessible for negative p and q versus the previously studied case of $p, q \ge 0$ [9] is the

range $\frac{1}{3} \leq |\epsilon| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. In this range of ϵ, no operators violate the unitarity bound; thus, assuming no accidental IR symmetries, a and c are given by 18 and 19. We note that a and c given in 18, 19 are always positive and nonimaginary, and always (for $g > 1$) satisfy the Hofman-Maldacena bounds for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFTs [19],

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{a}{c} \leq \frac{3}{2}. \tag{23}$$

At large N, the leading-order term of $a = c$ in 18 scales as N^3, and is given by

$$a_{\text{large-}N} = \frac{(1-g)(1-9z^2-(1+3z^2)^{3/2})}{48z^2} N^3. \tag{24}$$

This reproduces equation (2.22) in [9].

3 $\mathcal{C}_{g=0,n=0}$ from the Higgsed $T_N^{(m)}$

3.1 Constructing Higgsed $T_N^{(m)}$ theories

In this section, we review the procedure of closing the three maximal punctures of the $T_N^{(m)}$ theory. The Higgsing procedure we review below was detailed in [11], and also utilized in [20] (where the $|z| = 2$ case was first studied) and [10].

First, switch the color of the punctures to be opposite the color of the background $T_N^{(m)}$ (i.e. flip the colors of the $SU(N)_{A,B,C}$ flavor groups in Figure 1 from blue to red), and couple in three extra chiral fields $M_{A,B,C}$ that transform as the adjoint of the $SU(N)_{A,B,C}$ flavor groups, respectively. The superpotential contains terms that couple these adjoints to the moment map operators $\mu_{A,B,C}$,

$$W \supset \mu_A M_A + \mu_B M_B + \mu_C M_C. \tag{25}$$

Next, Higgs each of the flavor groups $SU(N)_{A,B,C}$ via a nilpotent vev[6]

$$\langle M_A \rangle = \langle M_B \rangle = \langle M_C \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{26}$$

A vev of this form corresponds to the principle embedding of $SU(2)$ into $SU(N)$, breaking each flavor group down from $SU(N)_{A,B,C} \to U(1)$. The adjoint representation of $SU(N)$ decomposes into a sum of spin-j irreducible representations of $SU(2)$, such that

[6] In general, one could consider a nilpotent vev corresponding to an $SU(2)$ embedding $\rho : SU(2) \to SU(N)$ labeled by a partition of N, with the residual flavor symmetry given by the commutant of the embedding—see [13] for more details. Here, we consider only the principle embedding.



Figure 4: UV quiver for the $T_N^{(m)}$ theory with $\sigma_i = +1$, Higgsing the $SU(N)$ flavor nodes. The rightmost figure depicts a shorthand we use throughout, for reference.

the components of $M_{A,B,C}$ corresponding to fluctuations from the vev are labeled by the spin j and the σ_3-eigenvalue $m = -j, \ldots, j$ (e.g. see relevant discussion in [13] and [11]). The only components that don't decouple are those with $m = -j$, which we'll denote as $M_j^{(A,B,C)}$ below. After decoupling operators, the remaining superpotential is

$$W \supset \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \left(M_j^{(A)} \mu_j^{(A)} + M_j^{(B)} \mu_j^{(B)} + M_j^{(C)} \mu_j^{(C)} \right). \tag{27}$$

The UV quiver is depicted in 4.

The Higgsing shifts the R-charges

$$J_+ \to J_+, \quad J_- \to J_- - \sum_{i=A,B,C} 2m^{(i)} \tag{28}$$

for $m^{(i)}$ the weights of the $SU(2)$ representations. The resulting R-charges of operators are given in Table 2. Note that the trifundamental Q's have decomposed into N^3 singlets, with R-charges shifted due to 28.

		$SU(N)$	(J_+, J_-)
$Q^{(s)(t)(u)}, \tilde{Q}^{(s)(t)(u)}$	$-\frac{(N-1)}{2} \leq \{s,t,u\} \leq \frac{N-1}{2}$		$\big(N-1, -2(s+t+u)\big)$
ϕ_i	$(i \leq 1 \leq m)$	adj	$(0,2)$
μ_i	$(i \leq 1 \leq m)$	adj	$(2,0)$
$\mu_j^{(A,B,C)}$	$(1 \leq j \leq N-1)$		$(2, -2j)$
$\mu_j^{(i)}$	$(1 \leq j \leq N-1)$		$(2, -2j)$
$M_j^{(i)}$	$(1 \leq j \leq N-1)$		$(0, 2j+2)$
$M_j^{(A,B,C)}$	$(1 \leq j \leq N-1)$		$(0, 2j+2)$

Table 2: Operators in the Higgsed T_N theory.

Geometrically, closing the punctures reduces the degrees of the normal bundle; starting with $p = m+1$, $q = -m$ as in 3, we flow to a theory with

$$\begin{gathered} p = m+1, \quad q = -m-3, \quad m \geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow z = -m-2. \end{gathered} \tag{29}$$

Note that this construction only yields 4d theories with $|z| \geq 2$, since starting with a T_N^m theory with $\sigma = \pm 1$ results in $z = \mp(m+2)$ for $m \geq 0$.

3.2 Computation of a_{trial} and c_{trial}

Next, we compute a and c for the Higgsed $T_N^{(m)}$ theories, assuming a flow to an IR fixed point. The central charges can be computed from the 't Hooft anomalies for the $T_N^{(m)}$ theory given in Appendix C, adding in the contribution from Higgsing the $SU(N)_{A,B,C}$ symmetries of the three punctures given in 57. The contribution to a from closing a single puncture can be expressed as

$$a_{\langle M\rangle}(\epsilon) = \frac{3}{64}\left(2\epsilon - 6\epsilon^3 + 3N^3(\epsilon-1)(\epsilon+1)^2 + N(1+(2-3\epsilon)\epsilon) + N^2(2-\epsilon+3\epsilon^3)\right). \tag{30}$$

With these ingredients, we find that ϵ is given by

$$\epsilon = \frac{N+N^2+\sqrt{z^2+N(1+N)(N(1+N)+z^2(4+3N(1+N)))}}{3(1+N+N^2)z} \tag{31}$$

and the central charges a and c are given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_{trial} = \frac{1}{48(1+N+N^2)^2z^2}\Bigg[&(1+N)^3 - z^2(1+N+N^2)(9+3N(1+N)(7+3N(1+N))) \\ &\cdot\left(z^2+N(1+N)(N(1+N)+z^2(4+3N(1+N)))\right)^{3/2}\Bigg], \\ c_{trial} = \frac{(N-1)}{48(1+N+N^2)^2z^2}\Bigg[&N^3(1+N)^3 + \left(z^2+N(1+N)(N(1+N)+z^2(4+3N(1+N)))\right)^{3/2} \\ &- z^2(1+N+N^2)\Big[6+N(1+N)(17+9N(1+N)) \\ &- \sqrt{z^2+N(1+N)(N(1+N)+z^2(4+3N(1+N)))}\Big]\Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

These match the BBBW results, given in 50 of Appendix B with $\kappa = 1$ and $g = 0$. However, as we discuss in the next section, this is not the whole story, and there will be field theory corrections from operators in the theory hitting the unitarity bound. For this reason, we explicitly include the label a_{trial}, c_{trial}.

3.3 Comments on ruling out $g = 0$ SCFTs

For the Higgsed $T_N^{(m)}$ theory, the chiral operators are summarized in Table 2. $|\epsilon|$ runs from $\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\frac{19}{7}}$ for $N = 2$ and large-z, to $\frac{1}{6}(1+\sqrt{13})$ for $z = 2$ and large-N. For instance, the singlets $Q, \tilde{Q}$ have dimension

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta[Q^{(s)(t)(u)}] = \Delta[\tilde{Q}^{(s)(t)(u)}] = \frac{1}{2}\left((1-\epsilon)(N-1) - 2(s+t+u)(1+\epsilon)\right), \\ -\frac{N-1}{2} \le s,t,u \le \frac{N-1}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

We generically find that some operators decouple, and at finite-N there will be field theory corrections to the central charges 32, and thus corrections to the BBBW results. We will not discuss these corrections in general, and instead briefly point out some features in the $|z| = 2, 3$ cases.

Our construction does not reach $|z| = 0, 1$. The case of $|z| = 2$, i.e. $m = 0$, is studied field-theoretically in [20], where they find that the central charges violate the Hofman-Maldacena bounds due to accidental symmetries from the decoupled operators along the flow to the IR SCFT.

For $z = 3$, i.e. $m = 1$, and $N > 2$, the operator $\mathrm{Tr}\mu^2$ decouples. $Q, \tilde{Q}$ operators with

$$|s+t+u| \geq \frac{-21 + N(4N(2N-3)-11) + (N-1)\sqrt{9+4N(1+N)(9+7N(1+N))}}{2(9+10N(1+N)+\sqrt{9+4N(1+N)(9+7N(1+N))}} \tag{34}$$

violate the unitarity bound. For instance, for $N = 2$ and $z = 3$, the three operators $Q^{(s)(t)(u)}$ with $s + t + u = 1/2$, and one with $3/2$ would have R-charges that unitarity. In general, the decoupling of these operators could lead to violations of the Hofman-Maldacena bounds, but we do not pursue this direction here[7].

4 General $C_{g,n}$ from $T_N^{(m_i)}$ building blocks

4.1 Computing a_{trial} and c_{trial} for $g \neq 1$

Now we consider the most general case of constructing a genus g surface with n maximal punctures from $T_N^{(m_i)}$ building blocks, and computing a_{trial} and c_{trial}. One useful way to arrange this computation is to glue in chains of $T_N^{(m_i)}$ theories to the $n = 0$ cases we constructed in Section 2.3. The result will be a genus g surface where the number of punctures depends on how many chains we add. Then, we can close arbitrarily many of these punctures via the Higgsing procedure discussed in Section 3.

Let us begin with the class of theories we considered in Section 2.3: start with ℓ_1 $T_N^{(m_i)}(\sigma_i = -1)$ blocks, and ℓ_2 $T_N^{(m_i)}(\sigma_i = +1)$ blocks, glued with n_1 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vectors, and n_2 $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vectors, where $\ell_1 + \ell_2 = 2g - 2$, $n_1 + n_2 = 3(g-1)$, and $n_1 = 3\ell_1$.

Next, glue in some number of additional $T_N^{(m_i)}$ blocks such that we do not change the genus of the surface. In particular, introduce ℓ_1' $T_N^{(m_i)}(\sigma_i = -1)$ blocks and ℓ_2' $T_N^{(m_i)}(\sigma_i = +1)$ blocks. This will require that we introduce $\ell_1' + \ell_2'$ vectors to glue in these chains, where the number of additional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vectors n_2' is given by $\ell_2' - \ell_1'$. These $\ell_1' + \ell_2'$ blocks also introduce $\ell_1' + \ell_2'$ punctures to the surface, of various colors. As in Section 3, we can close each of these punctures by flipping their color, coupling in an adjoint chiral field,

[7]Note added: a discussion of the chiral operators in the ring of these theories on a sphere and their decoupling is given in [10], as well as some discussion on which geometries do not have IR SCFTs.



(a) (b) (c)

Figure 5: A genus 2, single-puncture example of a possible generalization of the diagrams in Figure 2. In our notation, these all have $n_{tot} = 1$, $n_{dif} = -1$, and $\ell_1 + \ell_2 = 2, n_1 + n_2 = \ell'_1 + \ell'_2 = n'_1 + n'_2 = 3, h_2 = 2$. All three diagrams have the same IR central charges.

and letting the adjoint take a nilpotent expectation value that breaks the $SU(N)$ flavor group of the puncture. In particular, let us close h_1 of the $\sigma_i = -1$ punctures, and h_2 of the $\sigma_i = +1$ punctures. This will leave us with $n^{(-)} = \ell'_1 - h_1$ minus punctures, and $n^{(+)} = \ell'_2 - h_2$ plus punctures. An example of this construction applied to a genus 2 surface that results in one plus puncture is given in Figure 5.

The total p and q for the surface will be given by

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \sum_{\{\sigma_i=+1\}} m_i - \sum_{\{\sigma_i=-1\}} m_i + (\ell_2 + \ell'_2) - h_1, \\ q &= -\sum_{\{\sigma_i=+1\}} m_i + \sum_{\{\sigma_i=-1\}} m_i + (\ell_1 + \ell'_1) - h_2, \\ p + q &= 2g - 2 + n^{(-)} + n^{(+)}. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

The sum over $\{\sigma_i = +1\}$ runs over the $\ell_2 + \ell'_2$ plus nodes, while the sum over $\sigma_i = -1$ runs over the $\ell_1 + \ell'_1$ minus nodes. Below, we write out answers in terms of $z = (p-q)/(p+q)$, and the combinations

$$n_{tot} \equiv n^{(-)} + n^{(+)}, \quad n_{dif} \equiv n^{(-)} - n^{(+)}. \tag{36}$$

Summing the contributions to the trial central charges, we find $a(\epsilon)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} a(\epsilon) = \frac{3}{64}(N-1)\Big(& 2(g-1)(2+3N+3N^2) + n_{tot}N(1+3N) \\ & - \epsilon((N+1)n_{dif} + z(1+3N+3N^2)(2g-2+n_{tot})) - 3(N^2+N)(2g-2+n_{tot})\epsilon^2 \\ & + 3\epsilon^3((N+1)n_{dif} + z(1+N+N^2)(2g-2+n_{tot}))\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

and $c(\epsilon)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} c(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{64}(N-1)\Big(& 2(g-1) + (4+9N(1+N)) + N(5+9N)n_{tot} \\ & - \epsilon(5(N+1) + z(5+9N(1+N))(2g-2+n_{tot})) - 9N(1+N)(2g-2+n_{tot})\epsilon^2 \\ & + 9\epsilon^3((N+1)n_{dif} + z(1+N+N^2)(2g-2+n_{tot}))\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$



Figure 6: a as a function of the twist z for the $g = 2, n_{tot} = 1$ theories represented in Figure 5, plotted for $N = 3, 4, 5$.

Maximizing with respect to ϵ, we find that ϵ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon =& \frac{1}{3\left((N+1)n_{dif} + z(1+N+N^2)(2g-2+n_{tot})\right)}\Bigg((2g-2+n_{tot})N(1+N)) \\ &- \Bigg[N^2(N+1)^2(2g-2+n_{tot})^2\Big((N+1)n_{dif} + z(1+N+N^2)(2g-2+n_{tot}) \\ &\cdot\Big((N+1)n_{dif} + z(1+3N+3N^2)(2g-2+n_{tot})\Big)\Big)\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg).\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

For $n_{tot} = n_{dif}$=0, these formulae reproduce 18 and 19; for $g = n_{tot} = n_{dif} = 0$ they reproduce 32.

At large-N limit, ϵ computed in 39 matches the BBBW result listed in 52. Then, the leading order piece of a and c in a large-N expansion is given by

$$a_{\text{large-}N} = \frac{N^3(2g-2+n_{tot})\left(9z^2 - 1 + (1+3z^2)^{3/2}\right)}{96z^2}. \tag{40}$$

This is simply our result 24 with $(2g-2) \to (2g-2+n_{tot})$.

We highlight that these answers depend only on topological data: the total number of punctures n_{tot}, the difference between the number of plus and minus punctures n_{dif}, the twist z defined in terms of the normal line bundle degrees p and q, and the genus g of the surface. It is satisfying that the computation organized such that the other parameters dropped out, leaving the (relatively) nice expressions 37-39.

4.2 Comments on operators

Deferring a detailed analysis of the chiral operators of this class of theories to the future, we point out one interesting feature in the chain operators $\mathcal{O}_{M2}$, $\tilde{O}_{M2}$. For illustration, consider the theories in 5. There will be N^2 fundamentals (and anti-fundamental) operators of the form $(QQQQQ)_\ell^{(s)(t)}$, where $-\frac{(N-1)}{2} \leq s,t \leq \frac{(N-1)}{2}$ label the N^2 operators and yield a shift in the J_- charges of these operators as in Table 2, and ℓ runs from $1,\ldots,N$ is an index for the $SU(N)$ flavor symmetry of the remaining puncture. If we close the remaining puncture, then there will be N^3 degenerate chain operators that should correspond to M2-branes wrapping the surface.

More generally, for a class of theories constructed from gluing h_1 Higgsed shaded flavor groups and h_2 Higgsed unshaded flavor groups such that all the punctures are closed, there will be gauge-invariant chain operators

$$\mathcal{O}^{(s_1)\ldots(s_{h_1})(t_1)\ldots(t_{h_2})} = \prod_{i=1}^{2g-2+h_1+h_2} (Q_i)^{(s_1)\ldots(s_{h_1})(t_1)\ldots(t_{h_2})}, \quad -\frac{(N-1)}{2} \leq s_i, t_i \leq \frac{N-1}{2} \tag{41}$$

as well as the corresponding operators constructed from the $\tilde{Q}$'s. The R-charges of these operators will be given by

$$\begin{aligned} R\left(\mathcal{O}^{(s_1)\ldots(s_{h_1})(t_1)\ldots(t_{h_2})}\right) =& \frac{1}{2}\Bigg(\left((N-1)(h_2+\ell_2) - 2h_1 \sum_{i=1}^{h_1} s_i\right)(1-\epsilon) \\ &+ \left((N-1)(2g-2+h_1-\ell_1) - 2h_2 \sum_{i=1}^{h_2} t_i\right)(1+\epsilon)\Bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Thus, this field-theoretic analysis suggests a degeneracy of possible M2-brane operators[8].

4.3 Computing a_{trial} and c_{trial} for the torus

Up to this point, we've considered $g \neq 1$. The case of M5-branes compactified on the 2-torus is special because the torus admits a flat metric, implying that the maximal amount of supersymmetry can be preserved by fixing the normal bundle to the M5-brane worldvolume to be trivial. The singular behavior at $g = 1$ in the computation of a and c is related to the fact that the M5-brane tension causes the volume of the torus to shrink. In our constructions, this means that we should get a 4d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ field theory in the IR when $g = 1$ and $z = 0$.

One can formulate a nonsingular construction that preserves only $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry by taking the torus to have line-bundles of equal and opposite degrees fibered over it, i.e. taking $p = -q$. Letting $g = 1$ and $p = -q$ in 35 means that we should require the total number of punctures be zero. In the construction detailed in Section 4.1, the

[8]This degeneracy of operators was noted independently in [10].

simplest generalized quiver that this could correspond to is closing one of the punctures on a $T_N^{(m)}$ block, and then gluing the other two.

Redoing the computation of Section 4.1 for the torus, we find

$$g = 1: \quad \epsilon = -\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\frac{1+3N(1+N)}{1+N+N^2}}, \tag{43}$$

and

$$g = 1: \quad a = \frac{p(N-1)(1+3N(1+N))^{3/2}}{48\sqrt{1+N+N^2}}. \tag{44}$$

Indeed, 44 matches the BBBW result that we've written in 53, where our definition of p matches their $|z|$.

5 Future directions

There are many directions one can think about based on the present work, some of which we will list below.

First, we note that the authors of [10] study the chiral rings of these theories (much as [21] and [22] studied the chiral rings of the T_N theory in detail). It would be further interesting to study the moduli space of vacua of the 4d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFTs realized by our constructions.

In the present work we don't discuss possible confinement of the gauge theories that result from the gluing procedure. However, as shown in [11], when two $T_N^{(m)}$ blocks with the same m and opposite shading are glued with an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vector multiplet, the gauge node confines. It would be interesting to study the structure of confinement for our general constructions, as well as to understand how various duality maps of $T_N^{(m)}$ theories (discussed in [11], and [10]) act on our constructions.

It would be interesting to obtain a field-theoretic construction of the $N = 2$, $g = n = 0$ theories with $|z| = 0, 1$. While these theories do not have a conformal phase, it could be useful to study the IR dynamics from the field theory side[9].

Also, it is shown in [23] that the BBBW solutions with rational central charges allow probe M5-branes to break into multiple M5-branes at special points; in particular, when a and c at large-N (given in 24) are rational. It would be interesting to understand field-theoretically what happens at these special points in our constructions.

Acknowledgements

It is a great pleasure to thank Ibrahima Bah for suggesting the idea for this work, and for many subsequent helpful conversations and comments. We are especially grateful as well

[9] The author is grateful to Ibrahima Bah for pointing out this possibility.

to Ken Intriligator for many informative and valuable conversations. We thank Prarit Agarwal and Jaewon Song for helpful suggestions and comments pertaining to this work. This work was supported in part by DOE grant DE-SC0009919.

A Conventions and main T_N formulae

The $T_N^{(m)}$ theory is $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric with global symmetries $SU(2)_R \times U(1)_R \times SU(N)^3$. We use a basis for the Cartan subalgebra of the $\mathcal{N}=2$ R-symmetry $SU(2)_R \times U(1)_R$ labeled by $(I_3, R_{\mathcal{N}=\epsilon})$. The R-symmetry of an $\mathcal{N}=1$ subalgebra is given by

$$R_{\mathcal{N}=1} = \frac{1}{3}R_{\mathcal{N}=2} + \frac{4}{3}I_3. \tag{45}$$

We can rewrite these in terms of the generators J_+, J_- of the $U(1)_+ \times U(1)_-$ symmetry preserved by the $\mathcal{N}=1$ theories of class $\mathcal{S}$, using $R_{\mathcal{N}=2} = J_-$, and $I_3 = \frac{1}{2}J_+$. With these conventions, for example, the adjoint field in the $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector multiplet has $(J_+, J_-) = (0,2)$.

The (J_+, J_-) charges of chiral operators of the T_N theory are

	(J_+, J_-)
u_k	$(0, 2k)$
$Q, \tilde{Q}$	$(N-1, 0)$
$\mu_{A,B,C}$	$(2, 0)$

The $\mu_{A,B,C}$ are moment-map operators in the adjoint of (one of) the $SU(N)_A \times SU(N)_B \times SU(N)_C$ flavor symmetry groups, and the $Q/\tilde{Q}$ transform in the trifundamental/anti-trifundamental of the $SU(N)_A \times SU(N)_B \times SU(N)_C$ symmetry. The u_k are Coulomb branch operators of dimension k, with $k = 3, \ldots, N$.

The IR superconformal R-charge for operators of the T_N theory of color $\sigma_i = \pm 1$ is given by maximizing the following combination of R-charges with respect to ϵ:

$$\begin{aligned} R(\epsilon) &= \left(\frac{1}{2}R_{\mathcal{N}=2} + I_3\right) + \sigma_i\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}R_{\mathcal{N}=2} - I_3\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(1-\sigma_i\epsilon)J_+ + \frac{1}{2}(1+\sigma_i\epsilon)J_-. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

B Relevant BBBW results

In [9], Bah, Beem, Bobev, and Wecht (BBBW) compute a and c of the IR $\mathcal{N}=1$ SCFTs obtained from compactifying the 6d (2,0) theories on a Riemann surface $\mathcal{C}_g$, where the surface is embedded in a Calabi-Yau three-fold that decomposes into a sum of line bundles as in 1. These are computed by integrating the anomaly eight-form of the M5-brane theory over the surface $\mathcal{C}_g$, and matching with the anomaly six-form, which is related to

the anomalous divergence of the 4d $\mathcal{N}=1$ R-current by the descent procedure and given by

$$I_6 = \frac{1}{6}\mathrm{Tr}R^3 c_1(F)^3 - \frac{1}{24}\mathrm{Tr}R c_1(F)p_1(T_4). \tag{47}$$

F is the S^1 bundle which couples to the R-symmetry, T_4 is the tangent bundle to the 4d spacetime manifold, c_1 is the first Chern class, and p_1 is the first Pontryagin class. Due to the presence of an additional global symmetry $U(1)_{\mathcal{F}}$, the superconformal R-symmetry takes the form

$$R = K + \epsilon\mathcal{F}, \tag{48}$$

where ϵ is a real number determined by a-maximization. For the (2,0) theory of type A_{N-1}, ϵ is found to be

$$\epsilon = \frac{\eta + \kappa\zeta}{3(1+\eta)z}, \tag{49}$$

and the central charges a and c are found (for $g \neq 1$) to be

$$\begin{aligned} a &= (g-1)(N-1)\frac{\zeta^3 + \kappa\eta^3 - \kappa(1+\eta)(9+21\eta+9\eta^2)z^2}{48(1+\eta)^2 z^2}, \\ c &= (g-1)(N-1)\frac{\zeta^3 + \kappa\eta^3 - \kappa(1+\eta)(6-\kappa\zeta+17\eta+9\eta^2)z^2}{48(1+\eta)^2 z^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

η and ζ are defined as

$$\eta = N(1+N), \quad \zeta = \sqrt{\eta^2 + (1+4\eta+3\eta^2)z^2}, \tag{51}$$

z is the twist parameter defined in terms of the degrees of the line bundles p and q as in 17, and $\kappa = 1$ for the sphere and $\kappa = -1$ for a hyperbolic Riemann surface. In the large N limit, these simplify to

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{\text{large-}N} &= \frac{1+\kappa\sqrt{1+3z^2}}{3z} \\ a_{\text{large-}N} = c_{\text{large-}N} &= (1-g)N^3\left(\frac{1-9z^2+\kappa(1+3z^2)^{3/2}}{48z^2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

The computation for $g=1$ requires special care, as one can preserve $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetry in the IR by fixing the normal bundle to the M5-brane worldvolume theory to be trivial. However, taking $p=-q$ preserves only $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetry in the IR. Redoing the computation for this special value, BBBW find that for the A_{N-1} theory on the torus,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= -\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\frac{1+3\eta}{1+\eta}}, \\ a &= \frac{|z|}{48}\frac{(N-1)(1+3\eta)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{1+\eta}}, \quad c = \frac{|z|}{48}\frac{(N-1)(2+3\eta)\sqrt{1+3\eta}}{\sqrt{1+\eta}}, \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

where at large-N,

$$a_{\text{large-}N} = c_{\text{large-}N} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{16}|z|N^3. \tag{54}$$

C 't Hooft anomalies for gluing $T_N^{(m)}$ building blocks

The 't Hooft anomaly coefficients for a single T_N block are given by

	T_N coefficients
J_+, J_+^3	0
J_-, J_-^3	$(N-1)(-3N-2)$
$J_+^2 J_-$	$\frac{1}{3}(N-1)(4N^2-5N-6)$
$J_+ J_-^2$	0
$J_+ SU(N)^2_{A,B,C}$	0
$J_- SU(N)^2_{A,B,C}$	$-N$

(55)

An $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector multiplet contains two fermions with $(J_+, J_-) = (1,1)$ and $(-1,1)$, so the only nonzero anomaly coefficients are

$$\mathcal{N}=2 \text{ vector}: \quad J_- = J_-^3 = J_+^2 J_- = 2(N^2-1). \tag{56}$$

Consider Higgsing an $SU(N)$ flavor group on a T_N block by giving a nilpotent vev to the adjoint chiral multiplet, $\langle M \rangle = \rho(\sigma_3)$, where the $SU(N)$ flavor corresponds to a maximal puncture whose color is opposite the background color. This can be computed[10] by shifting $J_- \to J_- - 2\rho(\sigma_3)$ and summing the contribution from the remaining $N-1$ singlets M_j, $j = 1, \ldots, N-1$ whose R-charges are shifted to $(J_+, J_-) = (0, 2+2j)$. This results in the following contribution to the block being Higgsed:

	from Higgsing
J_+, J_+^3	$1-N$
J_-, J_-^3	N^2-1
$J_+^2 J_-$	N^2-1
$J_+ J_-^2$	$\frac{1}{3}(1-N)(4N^2+4N+3)$
$J_+ SU(N)^2_{A,B,C}$	0
$J_- SU(N)^2_{A,B,C}$	0

(57)

The 't Hooft anomaly coefficients for a single $T_N^{(m)}$ block are computed in [11] by summing the contributions of $(m+1)$ T_N blocks—m of which have a Higgsed flavor group—and m $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector multiplets, yielding

	$T_N^{(m)}$ coefficients
J_+, J_+^3	$m(1-N)$
J_-, J_-^3	$(N-1)(m-3N-2)$
$J_+^2 J_-$	$\frac{1}{3}(N-1)(4N^2-5N-6+m(4N^2+4N+3))$
$J_+ J_-^2$	$\frac{1}{3}m(3+N-4N^3)$
$J_+ SU(N)^2_{A,B,C}$	0
$J_- SU(N)^2_{A,B,C}$	$-N$

(58)

[10] The author is grateful to Prarit Agarwal for explaining this computation in more detail.

Taking $m = 0$ reproduces the T_N 't Hooft anomalies.

Given these anomaly coefficients, we can compute the contribution to the central charges, using

$$\begin{aligned} a(\epsilon) &= \frac{3}{32}\left(3\mathrm{Tr}R(\epsilon)^3 - \mathrm{Tr}R(\epsilon)\right) \\ &= \frac{3}{64}\left(\frac{3}{4}\left[(1-\epsilon)^3 J_+^3 + (1+\epsilon)^3 J_-^3 + 3(1-\epsilon)^2(1+\epsilon)J_+^2 J_- + 3(1-\epsilon)(1+\epsilon)^2 J_+ J_-^2\right]\right. \\ &\qquad \left. - (1-\epsilon)J_+ - (1+\epsilon)J_-\right). \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

References

[1] E. Witten, "Solutions of four-dimensional field theories via M theory," *Nucl. Phys.* **B500** (1997) 3–42, arXiv:hep-th/9703166 [hep-th].

[2] D. Gaiotto, "N=2 dualities," *JHEP* **08** (2012) 034, arXiv:0904.2715 [hep-th].

[3] D. Gaiotto, G. W. Moore, and A. Neitzke, "Wall-crossing, Hitchin Systems, and the WKB Approximation," arXiv:0907.3987 [hep-th].

[4] J. M. Maldacena and C. Nunez, "Supergravity description of field theories on curved manifolds and a no go theorem," *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A16** (2001) 822–855, arXiv:hep-th/0007018 [hep-th]. [,182(2000)].

[5] D. Gaiotto and J. Maldacena, "The Gravity duals of N=2 superconformal field theories," *JHEP* **10** (2012) 189, arXiv:0904.4466 [hep-th].

[6] F. Benini, Y. Tachikawa, and B. Wecht, "Sicilian gauge theories and N=1 dualities," *JHEP* **01** (2010) 088, arXiv:0909.1327 [hep-th].

[7] I. Bah and B. Wecht, "New N=1 Superconformal Field Theories In Four Dimensions," *JHEP* **07** (2013) 107, arXiv:1111.3402 [hep-th].

[8] I. Bah, C. Beem, N. Bobev, and B. Wecht, "AdS/CFT Dual Pairs from M5-Branes on Riemann Surfaces," *Phys. Rev.* **D85** (2012) 121901, arXiv:1112.5487 [hep-th].

[9] I. Bah, C. Beem, N. Bobev, and B. Wecht, "Four-Dimensional SCFTs from M5-Branes," *JHEP* **06** (2012) 005, arXiv:1203.0303 [hep-th].

[10] M. Fazzi and S. Giacomelli, "$\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal theories with D_N blocks," arXiv:1609.08156 [hep-th].

[11] P. Agarwal, K. Intriligator, and J. Song, "Infinitely many $\mathcal{N} = 1$ dualities from $m + 1 - m = 1$," *JHEP* **10** (2015) 035, arXiv:1505.00255 [hep-th].

[12] A. Gadde, K. Maruyoshi, Y. Tachikawa, and W. Yan, "New N=1 Dualities," *JHEP* **06** (2013) 056, arXiv:1303.0836 [hep-th].

[13] P. Agarwal, I. Bah, K. Maruyoshi, and J. Song, "Quiver tails and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFTs from M5-branes," *JHEP* **03** (2015) 049, arXiv:1409.1908 [hep-th].

[14] O. Chacaltana and J. Distler, "Tinkertoys for Gaiotto Duality," *JHEP* **11** (2010) 099, arXiv:1008.5203 [hep-th].

[15] O. Chacaltana, J. Distler, and Y. Tachikawa, "Nilpotent orbits and codimension-two defects of 6d N=(2,0) theories," *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A28** (2013) 1340006, arXiv:1203.2930 [hep-th].

[16] D. Kutasov, A. Parnachev, and D. A. Sahakyan, "Central charges and U(1)(R) symmetries in N=1 superYang-Mills," *JHEP* **11** (2003) 013, arXiv:hep-th/0308071 [hep-th].

[17] D. Anselmi, D. Z. Freedman, M. T. Grisaru, and A. A. Johansen, "Nonperturbative formulas for central functions of supersymmetric gauge theories," *Nucl. Phys.* **B526** (1998) 543–571, arXiv:hep-th/9708042 [hep-th].

[18] K. A. Intriligator and B. Wecht, "The Exact superconformal R symmetry maximizes a," *Nucl. Phys.* **B667** (2003) 183–200, arXiv:hep-th/0304128 [hep-th].

[19] D. M. Hofman and J. Maldacena, "Conformal collider physics: Energy and charge correlations," *JHEP* **05** (2008) 012, arXiv:0803.1467 [hep-th].

[20] K. Maruyoshi and J. Song, "N=1 Deformations and RG Flows of N=2 SCFTs," arXiv:1607.04281 [hep-th].

[21] K. Maruyoshi, Y. Tachikawa, W. Yan, and K. Yonekura, "N=1 dynamics with T_N theory," *JHEP* **10** (2013) 010, arXiv:1305.5250 [hep-th].

[22] H. Hayashi, Y. Tachikawa, and K. Yonekura, "Mass-deformed T_N as a linear quiver," *JHEP* **02** (2015) 089, arXiv:1410.6868 [hep-th].

[23] I. Bah, M. Gabella, and N. Halmagyi, "Punctures from probe M5-branes and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal field theories," *JHEP* **07** (2014) 131, arXiv:1312.6687 [hep-th].